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# INTRODUCTION TO JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS 2010-2011 JCC Board of Education \& Faculty 

## Board of Education

Greg Hunzeker, President
Sue Borcher, Vice President
Mike Gerdes
Barb Gottula
Teresa Goracke
Mike Remind

## Administration

Jack Moles, Superintendent
Rick Lester, High School Principal
Rich Bacon, MS \& Elementary Principal
Jon Rother, Elementary Principal

## Office - Clerical

Laurie Badertscher, Business Manager
Bey Wiebke, High School Secretary
Julie Name, Middle School Secretary
Mary Teten, Elem Secretary - Cook Site
Kerri Miller, Elem Secretary - Tecumseh Site

## Secondary Teachers

Jane Antholz, Mathematics
Marsha Bacon, Spanish
Ronda Baumfalk, Language Arts
Dustin Buggi, Computers
Tom Loran, Music
Tania Pure, Language Arts
Bob Eichenberger, Science
Joni Fox, Art
Christs Hodges, Agriculture

## Secondary Teachers, continued

Angie Huskey, Business
Chris Mut, Physical Education
Andrew Johnson, Instrumental Music
Louie Keim, Science
Shelley Moles, Counselor
Steve Note, Social Studies
Joan Peters, Media
Rick Richard, Industrial Technology
Tina Richardson, Mathematics
Lisa Schuster, Special Education
Ben Swanson, Mathematics
Nick Weber, Social Studies

## Middle School Teachers

Alta Bohling, Mathematics/Science
Kristin Brace, Counselor
Elea Eisenhauer, Title I
Kirk Faris, Mathematics
Greg Morris, Industrial Technology
Lavonne Niedermeyer, Media
Jeanette Palmer, Language Arts
Jeff Robeson, Social Studies
Maggie Rother, Science
Dean Wellensiek, Physical Education

Elementary Teachers - Tecumseh Site
Janene Bartels, Grade 2
Judi Borrenpohl, Kindergarten
Tanya Grotty, Grade 3
Kayleen Doeden, Grade 2

## Elementary Teachers - Rec Site, continued

Kelli Dorsey, Grade 4
Robyn Paris, Grade 1
Cheryl Grove, Preschool
Robin Heidemann, Grade 3
Sally Hutt, Grade 1
Gail Mut, Kindergarten
Rebecca King, Special Education
Colleen Saber, Grade 4
Doug Schnack, Title I
Lisa Weber, ELL

## Elementary Teachers - Cook Site

Karla Benson, Grade 1
Susan Dieckgrafe, Grade 3
Reid Genuchi, Level 3
Cinda Goodrich, Music
Kathie Grotrian, Kindergarten
Mandy Haufle, Special Education
Carol Hemmingsen, Grade 2
Ashley Juilfs, Grade 5
Lisa Other, Preschool
Julie Tubbesing, Grade 5

## Non Certified Staff

Peggy Borrenpohl, Cook
Ruth Bray, Cook
Kathy Carmen, Cook
Heather Clements, Aide
Jo Gadeken, Head Cook
Linda Goracke, Head Cook
Lois Kage, Playground Aide
Mildred Kaster, Special Education Aide
Abby Klaasmeyer, Aide
Lisa Kuhl, Nurse
Susie Lacey, Aide
Candy Laue, Aide
Emily Lubben, Cook
Ella Mae Mason-Manske, Cook
Karen Mahoney, Aide
Judy Morrissey, ELL Aide
Connie Walters, Nurse

Aides
Vicki Mulholland, Aide
Julie North, Special Education Aide
Sharol Paulson, Aide
Bruce Riensche, Maintenance
Karla Smith, Technology Aide
Betty Teten, Aide
Nancy Thees, Aide
Connie Watson, Aide
Carol Wiebke, Cook


Stipulates that the curriculum requirements of our graduates should be guided and supported by the following:


## ourney of Excellence ...through

* Demonstrating exceptional educational instruction and learning
* Presenting a safe and drug-free setting that fosters the desire to learn
* Encouraging progressive relevant student involvement
* Respecting the contributions of all

reating lifelong learners ...by
* Integrating technology throughout the curriculum
* Focusing on developing problem solvers in the classroom
* Stressing global perspective and the appreciation of diversity
* Promoting the value of the arts and extracurricular endeavors as integral parts of the curriculum


Itizens for tomorrow ...developed by

* Preparing students to contribute positively in the family, school, community, and the world
* Instructing and demonstrating respect for the environment
* Establishing a partnership of service between school and community


## OUR VISION

This Journey of excellence requires a commitment of all district members in preparing our students for their future. This ongoing venture is based on exceptional instructional practices and curriculum, quality staff, and expectations of success.

## OUR PURPOSE

## JOURNEY of excellence <br> Creating lifelong learners and <br> Citizens for tomorrow

## WHO WE ARE

Johnson County Central Schools is a Class 3 school district and was formed by the merger of Nemaha Valley Public Schools (located in Cook) and Tecumseh Public Schools in June of 2007. These two former school sites are still used as attendance centers for the newly formed school district. Currently, the Tecumseh site houses the PK-4 elementary grades and the 9-12 high school, and the Cook site houses the PK-3, 5-8 elementary and middle school. Besides Cook and Tecumseh, other towns served by this new district include Burr, Elk Creek, Horton, and Talmage. The district encompasses four counties, which include Johnson, Nemaha, Otoe, and Pawnee. The entire district, School District Number 49-0050, has a total of 297 square miles. (See Map). There are a total of 526 students in the district. St. Andrews Parochial School, which is a K-5 Catholic education center located in Tecumseh, is within this district.

Johnson County Central is a unique, mid-western rural American school district. It is unique because of its large number of multicultural students. These students come from a wide variety of socioeconomic backgrounds and have had many and varied experiences. Being a newly created district, we are striving to establish our educational identity.

## COMMUNITY INFORMATION

Tecumseh is the County Seat of Johnson County, and is the largest community in the district with a population of 1,716 . The populations of the other communities are Burr -66, Cook - 322, Elk Creek -112, Lorton-39, and Talmage-268. The major employers of this district include Tecumseh State Correctional Institute, MBA Chicken Processing Plant and Johnson County Hospital. Many people enjoy the recreational activities that are offered within the area which include Tecumseh Public Golf Course, Harvest Bowling Alley, Tecumseh Municipal Swimming Pool, Tecumseh Public Library and many opportunities for hunting and fishing. Within the community the school has a partnership with Big Brothers/Big Sisters of America. The school also has a partnership with the Career Academy for health related careers. The Talmage Area Action Group is also a community service group, which awards points to students who are willing to do community service.

## GENERAL SCHOOL INFORMATION AND POLICIES

Besides community organizations which benefit our students, Johnson County Central has three parent involvement groups. These groups include JCC Activity Boosters, JCC Music Boosters, and the newly formed JCC Parent Teacher Organization. The Activity Boosters help to enhance the students' athletic endeavors, and the Music Boosters help with both vocal and instrumental music activities and fund raising. The Parent Teacher Organization was recently created to aid funding for various requests.

Johnson County Central provides transportation to all students within the district. This transportation includes rural bus routes, shuttle buses to and from both sites, and shuttle vans for transportation of smaller groups of students. The total route mileage for Johnson County Central school district is 82,989 per year.

The policies which govern our school include Johnson County Central Board of Education policies. These policies are published in all of our handbooks. We have a Certified Teacher Handbook, a Non-Certified Staff Handbook, a Coaches Handbook, a Student Activities Handbook, and a Student Handbook, which clearly states all practices and policies of the district.

DISTRICT MAP
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Student Data

## Johnson County Central Public Schools

## STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

Johnson County Central places extremely high importance on attendance. Daily attendance is taken in each class at both sites. On a weekly schedule, that attendance is carefully analyzed and recorded. A student can only miss eight days per semester for any reason. If a student is absent for more than eight days in a semester, that student must attend Saturday school.

Classes offered within the high school and middle school include: Art, Math, Spanish, German (through distance learning), English/Language Arts, Information Technology, Vocal Music, Instrumental Music, Speech and Drama, Business, Physical/Health Education, Natural Science, Social Science, Agricultural Science, Library Science, Industrial Technology, Special Education and English Language Learners. The school also offers concurrent credit or college credit through Peru State College, Southeast Community College and Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture. Students at the High School level also have the opportunity to be involved in many organizations including Academic Decathlon, One-Act Play, Dramatic Plays and Musicals, Electric Vehicle, FFA, FBLA, Drama Team, Quiz Bowl, Science Bowl Team, Drug Free, Cheerleading, Y-Teens, CAN, National Honor Society, Morning Choir, Jazz Band, Student Council and Leadership Team (both high school and middle school). Athletic extracurricular activities include Girls Golf, Softball, Cross Country, Football, Volleyball, Basketball, Wrestling, Boys Golf and Track and Field.

The following graphs show student demographic data since the merger and include the 20072008, 2008-2009, and 2009-2010 school years.

## ENROLLMENT

## Johnson County School District Enrollment 3 Year Comparison



NUMBER OF STUDENTS PER GRADE

Number of Students per Grade 3 Year Comparison


GENDER

2007-2008 Student Gender


2008-2009
Student Gender



## ATTENDANCE RATES

Student Attendance Rates - JCC School District \& State 3 Year Comparison


Johnson Country Central's attendance rate is comparable to the state attendance rate. Over the three-year period the attendance rate has remained approximately constant.

## DROPOUT RATES

## Student Dropout Rate - JCC School District \& State 3 Year Comparison



The dropout rate at Johnson County Central has declined by $1.7 \%$ over the three-year period. Although the district's dropout rate during 2007-2008 exceeded the state rate, last year the district's rate had decreased to $1 \%$ below the state dropout percentage.

HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATES

High School Graduation Rates - JCC School District \& State
3 Year Comparison 3 Year Comparison


Johnson County Central's High School graduation rates have exceeded the state graduation rates. The district has experienced an increase in the graduation rate over the three-year period. One hundred percent of the 2010 class graduated.

DEMOGRAPHICS

Demographics
3 Year Comparison


## Iohnson County Central Public Schools

MOBILITY RATES

## Student Mobility Rates 3 Year Comparison



Johnson County Central as a district experienced a $4.48 \%$ drop in the number of students qualifying as being mobile as defined by the state. JCC's mobility was below the state's rate of mobility. The high school student population has had a decrease in mobility over the three-year period studied while middle school mobility percents have increased. Johnson County Central's elementary percents of mobility are significantly higher than the high school and middle school rates. The elementary percent dropped 8.84\% between 2008-2009 and 20092010.

INFORMATION NOTE: Any child who enters or leaves school between the last Friday in September and the last day of school in May is counted in the mobility rate. An individual child is counted only once.

## SPECIAL EDUCATION RATES

## Percent of Students in Special Education - JCC School District, State 3 Year Comparison



Two of the three years, Johnson County Central had a higher percentage of Special Education students than the state's percentage of SPED students.

## ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER RATES

English Language Learners - State, JCC School District; High School, Middle School, Elementary School - 3 Year Comparison


The percentage of Johnson County Central English Language Learners (ELL) is higher than the state's percentage of ELL, therefore the district will need to continue to challenge achievement in education.

The ELL teacher is located at the Tecumseh Elementary site due to the need. Middle school students spend part of the day at the Tecumseh site for ELL instruction.


SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS: FREE/REDUCED MEALS

Socioeconomic Status: Free/Reduced Meals 3 Year Comparison


The percent of Johnson County Central students meeting federal guidelines for Free/Reduced priced meals is comparable to the state percent.

JCC anticipates the percent of students meeting the federal guidelines for Free/Reduced priced meals will increase based on the higher percents seen in the elementary grades.

## DOWN LIST GRADES 9-12 PERCENT OF STUDENTS

## Down List: Percent of JCC Grades 9-12 on Down List One or More Times 3 Year Comparison



## Comparison of the percent of Grades 9-12 JCC students on down list one or more times over a three-year period:

In 2007-2008 the percent of 9-12 students on the down list one or more times was slightly above 50\%. The percentage of students on the down list one or more times remained around $53.7 \%$ in 2008-2009. During 20092010, there was a decrease of $9.4 \%$ in the number of down list students, dropping the percentage to $44.3 \%$. Over the three-year period, the percent of 9-12 students remained relatively steady and then decreased in the final year by 9.4\%.

INFORMATION NOTE: Students are placed on the down list for having a grade of $69 \%$ or below in any class. The down list is bimonthly.

## DOWN LIST

## Down List: Percent of JCC Grades 9-12 Males \& Females on Down List One or More Times 3 Year Comparison



## Summary of percent of Grades 9-12 JCC males \& females on down list one or more times by year:

2007-2008: The percent of male students on the down list at least one or more times was $64.9 \%$ which was higher than the percentage of girls by approximately $25 \%$.

2008-2009: The percentage of males on the down list, one or more times, was $64.2 \%$. The percentage of females on the down list was $47.2 \%$, which created a gap of $17 \%$ between the genders.

2009-2010: There were $54.5 \%$ of males on the down list and $35 \%$ of females. The number of males was still higher than females by $19.5 \%$.

Comparison of percent of Grades 9-12 JCC males \& females on down list one or more times over a three-year period:

In the three year period there was a 10.4\% decrease in the number of boys on the down list. Over this time period, the percentage of girls on the down list slightly increased and then decreased by $12.2 \%$. In these three years the percentage of boys on the down list was significantly higher than the percentage of girls.

INFORMATION NOTE: Students are placed on the down list for having a grade of $69 \%$ or below in any class. The down list is bimonthly.

## Down List: Average Times 9-12 JCC Students Reoccur on the Down List

3 Year Comparison
(only includes students that have appeared on the down list)


## Comparison of percent of Grades 9-12 JCC students on down list one or more times over a three-year period:

The average number of times a 9-12 student reoccurred on the down list in 2007-2008 was slightly more than four times in one year. During the 2008-2009 school year, the average times a student was on the down list was 4.78 times in the year. The average number of times a student was on the down list in 2009-2010 was lower, with an average of 3.74 times in the year. During the three-year comparison, there was a very slight increase followed by a decrease.

INFORMATION NOTE: Students are placed on the down list for having a grade of $69 \%$ or below in any class. The down list is bimonthly.

HONOR ROLL

High School Honor Roll
(Percent of JCC 9-12 students on Honor Roll one or more times) 3 Year Comparison


Over a three-year period, the percentage of students in $9^{\text {th }}$ through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade that were on the honor roll remained relatively consistent, slightly decreasing from $35.1 \%$ in 2007-2008 to $34.3 \%$ in 2009-2010. The percentage of $9^{\text {th }}$ graders decreased in the three years from $37.5 \%$ to $34.5 \%$, while the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade decreased from $55.2 \%$ to $35.9 \%$. There was an increase in the percentage of $10^{\text {th }}$ graders on the honor roll by $8.8 \%$ and an increase in the percentage of $11^{\text {th }}$ graders of $11.1 \%$. The $11^{\text {th }}$ grade had the highest percentage, $50 \%$ of students in 2009-2010.

## ELEMENTARY COURSES

- Accelerated Reading
- Art
- Computers
- Guidance
- Language Arts
- Math
- Media Arts
- Music
- Physical Education
- Phonics
- Reading
- Social Science
- Spanish
- Spelling


## MIDDLE SCHOOL GRADES 6-8 COURSE OFFERINGS

## COMPUTERS

- Computer Application 6
- Computer Application 7
- Computer Application 8
- Keyboarding 6


## FINE ARTS

- Art 6
- Art 7
- Art 8
- Band 6
- $\quad$ Band $7 / 8$
- Chorus 6
- Chorus 7
- Chorus 8
- Music Movement

INDUSTRIAL ARTS

- Industrial Technology 7
- Industrial Technology 8


## LANGUAGE ARTS

- Language Arts 6
- Language Arts 7
- Language Arts 8
- Reading 6
- Spanish 7
- Spanish 8


## MATHEMATICS

- Algebra I
- Math 6
- Math 7
- Math Topics
- Personal Finance 7
- Pre-Algebra 7
- Pre-Algebra 8


## PHYSICAL EDUCATION

- Fitness 6
- Physical Education 6
- Physical Education 7
- Physical Education 8


## SCIENCE

- Science 6
- $\quad$ Science 7
- Science 8


## SOCIAL SCIENCE

- Social Studies 6
- Social Studies 7
- Social Studies 8
- Modern Issues 7
- Modern Issues 8

STUDY HALL

## HIGH SCHOOL GRADES 9-12 COURSE OFFERINGS

ACADEMIC DECATHLON
AGRICULTURE

- FFA
- Agricultural Science
- Leadership

BUSINESS EDUCATION

- Information Technology Applications
- Accounting
- Advanced Accounting
- Accounting III
- Management
- Marketing
- Personal Finance
- Business Law


## COMPUTERS

- Cisco I
- Cisco II
- Cisco III
- Cisco IV
- Web Design
- Web Design II
- Intro. to Computer Programming
DRIVER EDUCATION
FAMILY \& CONSUMER SCIENCES
- Independent Living

FINE ARTS

- Art I
- Art II
- Art III
- Art IV
- Graphic Design
- Instrumental Music
- Vocal Music
- Music Theory I
- Music Theory II

INDUSTRIAL ARTS

- Exploring Industrial Systems
- Electrical Vehicle I
- Electrical Vehicle II
- Manufacturing Woods
- Advanced Manufacturing Woods
- Construction Home Maintenance
- Drafting I
- Drafting II
- Drafting III
- Welding I
- Welding II
- Welding III

LANGUAGE ARTS

- English I
- English II
- English III
- Advanced English III
- English IV
- Advanced English IV
- Creative Writing
- Young Adult Literature
- Drama/Speech
- Journalism
- Spanish I
- Spanish II
- Spanish III
- Spanish IV
- German I (via distance learning)
- German II (via distance learning)


## MATHEMATICS

- Applied Math I
- Applied Math II
- Beginning Algebra
- Geometry
- Advanced Algebra
- Business Math
- Finite
- Trigonometry
- Pre-Calculus
- Statistics
- Calculus
- Calculus II

PHYSICAL EDUCATION

- Physical Education
- Health
- Advanced Physical Education
- Strength Training
- Introduction to Health Sciences
- Medical Terminology I


## SCIENCE

- General Science
- Biology I
- Biology II
- Botany
- Chemistry I
- Chemistry II
- Physiology
- Zoology
- Food Science
- Physics

SOCIAL SCIENCE

- Geography
- World History
- Economics
- Criminal Justice
- U.S. Military History
- Modern U.S. History
- Psychology
- Sociology
- Modern Problems
- American History
- American Government

STUDENT ASSISTANT
STUDY HALL

## STUDENT ACTIVITIES and ATHLETICS

ACTIVITIES

- Academic Decathlon
- All School Play
- Annual/Yearbook
- Art Club
- FBLA
- FFA
- Instrumental Music
- Musical
- National Honor Society
- One Act Play Production
- Speech Team
- Student Council
- Summer Band
- Thunderbird Club
- Vocal Music
- Y -Teens


## ATHLETICS

- Football
- Softball
- Volleyball
- Boys Cross Country
- Girls Cross Country
- Boys Basketball
- Girls Basketball
- Wrestling
- Boys Golf
- Girls Golf
- Boys Track
- Girls Track
- Cheerleader
- JH Football
- JH Volleyball
- JH Boys Basketball
- JH Girls Basketball
- JH Boys Track
- JH Girls Track
- JH Wrestling
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Teacher Data

TEACHER EXPERIENCE

Average Years of Teaching Experience - 3 Year Comparison JCC School District \& State


Teachers at Johnson County Central have an average number of years of teaching experience greater than the state average years of teaching experience.

Johnson County Central's average years of teaching experience has increased over the three-year period.

TEACHERS WITH MASTER'S DEGREES

Percent of Teachers with Master's Degrees - JCC School District \& State 3 Year Comparison


Years
The percentage of Johnson County Central staff with a master's degree saw a small decrease, but is again increasing. This drop was due to changes in numbers of staff members.

| Years | \# of <br> Teachers <br> in District | \# with <br> Master's <br> Degrees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007-2008 | 51.8 | 22 |
| 2008-2009 | 49 | 18 |
| 2009-2010 | 50 | 21 |

## TEACHERS TEACHING IN ENDORSED AREAS

Percent of Teachers Teaching in Endorsed Area
JCC School District \& State


The percent of Johnson County Central teachers teaching in their endorsed area is comparable to the state average (District 95.25\% to State 92.69\%).

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT
(Focus: School Improvement Goal)


Johnson County Central Public Schools

## GENERAL PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT



Iohnson County Central Publio Schools

GENERAL PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT, Continued

| Date | Professional Development | Number of Staff Attending |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Elementary | Middle School | High School | Guidance | Administration |
| 4/27/09 | Perkins Tech Assistance Day |  |  | 1 - Tech Coordinator 1 - Support Staff |  |  |
| 4/6/09 | Spring Counselors' Networking Session |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 3/26/09 | Principal's Learning Team |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2/24/09 | Principal's Learning Team |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1/7/09 | Career Academy Advisory Committee |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 11/20/08 | Principals' Learning Team |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 10/30/08 | Counselors' Fall Session |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 9/17/08 | Principal's PLC |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 3/5/08 | Business Teacher iPod Training |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 11/6-7/07 | Crisis Team Training - Level 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { - Support } \\ & \text { Staff } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { - Support } \\ & \text { Staff } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { - Support } \\ & \text { Staff } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11/6/07 | Counselors Fall Meeting |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 10/24/07 | Business Marketing \& Information Technology |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 10/18/08 | Media Meeting | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 6/26-27/08 | Principals' Retreat |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 4/14/08 | Perkins Technical Assistance Day |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1- Support } \\ & \text { Staff } \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |
| 3/11/08 | Principals Learning Team |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2/13/08 | Principals Learning Team |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 2/9/08 | Spring Counselors' Networking Session |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 1/8/08 | Peer Led Assessment Review Preparation Session | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 11/14/07 | Principals Learning Team |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 10/10/07 | Principals Learning Team |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 9/19/07 | NCLB Technical Assistance Day |  |  | 1-Tech Coordinator |  | 1 |
| 9/18/07 | Social Studies Grades 5-12 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 9/17/07 | Preparing for the NDE OnSite Assessment Quality Review | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |

## STAFF COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

Johnson County Central staff members play an active and visible role in their communities and the communities the district serves. The following is a partial generalized list of the staffs' community involvement:

- Nebraska State Reading Association
- Apple Valley Reading Association
- Rescue Squad
- Volunteer Fire Department
- Active church member
- Sunday School teacher
- AWANA leader
- Bible School teacher
- Church Council/Administrative Board
- Church Choir
- Church Women's Group
- CCD Coordinator
- Church Staff Parish Relations Committee
- Altar Society
- Coordinator Jump Rope for Hearts and Hoop for Hearts
- Booster Club
- Fellowship of Christian Athletes
- Tecumseh Ball Association
- Volunteer coaching
- American Legion Auxiliary
- VFW Auxiliary
- Lions Club
- 4 H volunteer
- Cub Scout Leader
- Swim Instructor
- CPR/AED Instructor
- Area Arts Council
- Serve on local Housing Board
- Serve on local Village Board
- Volunteer Park Mower
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Essessment Data

## ACT COMPOSITE SCORES

## ACT Average Composite Scores of Graduating Seniors JCC School District, State, National 3 Year Comparison



## Summary of ACT scores by year:

2009-2010: The average composite ACT score for JCC seniors of 2010 was slightly lower than the national (21.1) and state average (22.1). 56\% of Johnson Country Central 2010 seniors took the ACT.

2009-2008: The composite ACT score for JCC seniors of 2009 was better than the national average (21.1) but lower than the state average (22.1). $87 \%$ of JCC 2009 seniors took the ACT.

2008-2007: The average composite ACT score of JCC seniors of 2008 was above the national (21.1) and state average (22.1). $62 \%$ of JCC 2008 seniors took the ACT.

## Comparison of ACT subtest scores over a three-year period:

The average ACT composite score of Johnson County Central seniors of 2008 was higher than the ACT composite score of the seniors of 2009 and the seniors of 2010. The percentage of seniors who took the ACT test increased from 2008 to 2009 and then decreased in 2010. Many students in 2010 enrolled in community colleges which do not require an ACT score.

The highest composite ACT score for any senior in 2008 was 30, for any senior in 2009 was 31, and for any senior in 2010 was 30. The lowest composite ACT score for any senior in 2008 was 21, for any senior in 2009 was 13, and for any senior in 2010 was 15.

INFORMATION NOTE: The highest ACT composite or subject area score possible is 36.

## ACT SUBTEST SCORES



## Comparison of ACT subtest scores over a three-year period:

2009-2010: The average ACT subtest scores for seniors in 2010 were similar (English: 21.14, Math: 21.45, Reading: 21.77, Science: 21.55 ) differing less than one point. All ACT subtest scores were slightly below the state average.

The highest ACT subtest scores for any Johnson County Central senior of 2010 were English: 31, Math: 30, Reading: 33, and Science: 27. The lowest were English: 14, Math: 14, Reading: 14, and Science: 13.

2008-2009: For the seniors of 2009, all subject area scores were below the 2008 average; English was the lowest subject area score (20.36) and reading was the highest subject area score (22.15).

The highest ACT subject area scores for any JCC senior 2009 were English: 30, Math: 32, Reading: 34, and Science: 30. The lowest subject areas scores were English: 10, Math: 14, Reading: 11, and Science: 13.

2007-2008: The average ACT subject area scores for JCC senior of 2008 were similar (English: 25.50, Math: 25.56, Reading: 25.31, and Science: 25.00 ) differing less than one point.

The highest ACT subject area scores for any JCC senior of 2008 were English: 34, Math: 29, Reading: 36, and Science: 32. The lowest subject area scores were English: 19, Math: 21, Reading 16, and Science 19.

INFORMATION NOTE: The highest ACT composite or subject area score possible is 36 .

PLAN Test Composite \& Sub-scores of $10^{\text {th }}$ Grade JCC Students 3 Year Comparison


In a three-year comparison, the average student scores increased from 15.5 to 18 in the first two years, then decreased to 17.3 in the third year. The average English scores increased between 2007-2008 and 2008-2009, then slightly decreased to 16.5 in 2009-2010. Math showed an increased in the average student scores from 15.7 in the first year to 18.3 in the third year. There was a $1.8 \%$ increase in the average student score in the Science subgroup. Reading also increased from 15.3 to 16.


## Summary of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS subject scores by year:

2009-2010: In Reading, Language and Math at least 58.5\% of students were in the top two quartiles. More than $26 \%$ of students scored in the top quartile in all subjects.
2008-2009: In every subject area, Reading, Language, and Math, at least $58 \%$ of Johnson County Central students fell in the top two quartiles with at least $28 \%$ scoring in the top quartile. The bottom quartile contained at most $11 \%$ of the students.

2007-2008: Language and Math had a large percent of students falling in the upper two quartiles, $79.5 \%$ and $82.1 \%$. The fourth quartile of Reading contained $17.9 \%$, while Language contained $48.7 \%$ and Math $43.6 \%$. The first quartile of all subjects contained less than $13 \%$ of the students.

## Comparison of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS subject scores over a three-year period:

Reading: In the three-year comparison, each year the percent of students in the top two quartiles remained consistent. The percent in the lowest quartile also increased during the three period.

Language: Each of three years had $6 \%$ or less in the lowest quartile. At least $63.4 \%$ of students were in the top two quartiles all three years.

Math: In the 2007-2008 school year students tested the best with $82.1 \%$ of students in the top two quartiles. The consecutive two years the scores dropped somewhat, but $63.4 \%$ still scored in the top half during the 20092010 school year.

4th Grade READING Quartiles Subgroups
(Females, Males \& Socioeconomics) Iowa Tests of Basic Skills NRT: 3 Year Comparison


Summary of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Reading subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: The female and male subgroups scored $61.9 \%$ and $55 \%$ respectively in the top two quartiles, while the socioeconomic subgroup scored 43.5\%

2008-2009: All three subgroups had $50 \%$ to $62 \%$ of students scoring in the third and fourth quartile. There was a higher percentage of students falling in the third quartile versus the fourth quartile in the subgroups of boys and identified socioeconomic students.

2007-2008: $53.9 \%$ and $61.6 \%$ of girls and boys, respectively, scored in the top two quartiles, but only $35.3 \%$ of identified socioeconomic students scored in the top quartiles. $64.7 \%$ of identified socioeconomic students scored in the first and second quartiles.

## Comparison of 4th grade ITBS Reading subgroup scores over a three-year period:

Females: The scores for the female subgroup showed a decreasing trend. The lowest year, 2009-2010, the bottom two quartiles contained $38.1 \%$ of the female students.

Males: The scores for the male subgroup showed a decreasing trend. The lowest year, 2008-2009 the bottom two quartiles contained $47 \%$ of the male students.

Socioeconomic: The Socioeconomic subgroup had consistent scores over the three-year period. The greatest differences were between the second and fourth quartile between the 2007-2008 and 2009-2010 school years.

Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.

English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.

INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

4th Grade LANGUAGE Quartiles Subgroups
(Females, Males \& Socioeconomics)
Iowa Tests of Basic Skills NRT: 3 Year Comparison


## Summary of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Language subgroup scores by year:

2009-2010: The female subgroup during this year all scored in the top three quartiles. All subgroups had at least $55 \%$ in the top two quartiles.

2008-2009: All three subgroups had a high percentage, $73 \%$ to $80 \%$, of students scoring in the third and fourth quartile. All three subgroups contained similar fourth quartile percentages: $33 \%, 33 \%$, and $26 \%$.

2007-2008: All three subgroups also contain a significant percentage of students in the fourth quartile, $40 \%$ or more. Girls had $0 \%$ scoring in the second quartile and less than $10 \%$ in the first quartile while boys had $0 \%$ scoring in the first quartile but $26.9 \%$ in the second quartile.

## Comparison of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Language subgroup scores over a three-year period:

Females: All three years showed the girl subgroup scoring high with at least $71.5 \%$ scoring in the top half. There was a trend showing a decrease of females scoring in the third and fourth quartile over the three-year period.

Males: Over the three-year period at least $55 \%$ of males scored in the third and fourth quartiles. Between the 2007-2008 and the 2009-2010 school years, at most $10 \%$ of this subgroup were in the first quartile.

Socioeconomic: Each of the years shown, the socioeconomic subgroup had at least $60.9 \%$ of students in the top two quartiles.

Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.

English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.

INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

4th Grade MATH Quartiles Subgroups
(Females, Males \& Socioeconomics)
lowa Tests of Basic Skills NRT: 3 Year Comparison


Summary of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Math subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: The three subgroups were consistent. In all three groups the top two quartiles were between 60\% and 61.9\%.
2008-2009: More than 50\% of students in all subgroups scored in the third and fourth quartiles. Girls and boys each had about $40 \%$ of their students scoring in the fourth quartile.

2007-2008: Boys had $50 \%$ scoring in the fourth quartile, while $41.3 \%$ of identified socioeconomic students and $30.7 \%$ of girls scored in the top quartile. $7.7 \%$ of the boys scored in the first quartile. Girls and identified socioeconomic students had higher percentages in the first quartile $15.4 \%$ and $17.6 \%$, respectively.

## Comparison of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Math subgroup scores over a three-year testing period:

Females: The scores for the female subgroup had a decreasing trend. The lowest year, 2009-2010, the bottom two quartiles contained $38.1 \%$ of the female students.

Males: The scores for the male subgroup had a decreasing trend. The lowest year, 2009-2010, the bottom two quartiles contained $40 \%$ of the male students.

Socioeconomic: The socioeconomic subgroup had consistent scores over the three-year period. The greatest differences were between the second and fourth quartile between the 2007-2008 and 2009-2010 school years.

Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.

English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.

INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

8th Grade (All Students)
Quartiles for Reading, Language, and Math
lowa Tests of Basic Skills NRT: 3 Year Comparison


Summary of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS subject scores by year:
2009-2010: Across the three subjects, all students scored at least $56.2 \%$ or better. In Reading and Language students scored higher than $40 \%$ in the bottom two quartiles.

2008-2009: In every subject area, Reading, Language, and Math, at least $60 \%$ of Johnson County Central eighth grade students fell in the top two quartiles. In Reading and Language, less than $20 \%$ of students scored in the top quartile. In Math over $25 \%$ of the students scored in the top quartile.

2007-2008: In every subject, Reading, Language, and Math, at least 50\% of eighth grade students fell in the top two quartiles. In all areas over $25 \%$ of students scored in the top quartile.

Comparison of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS subject scores over a three-year period:
Reading: Over the three-year period in reading, there was a slight increase from 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 from 61\% to $66 \%$, then a decrease in 2009-2010 to $57.2 \%$. There was a decrease in the percentage of students scoring in the first quartile by 9.9\% from 2007-2008 to 2009-2010.

Language: Each year at least 50\% or more of students scored in the top two quartiles. In 2008-2009, 44\% of students scored in the third quartile. Over the three-year span, from 2007-2008 to 2009-2010 there was a decrease of $6 \%$ in the number of students scoring in the bottom two quartiles.

Math: Every year the percentage of students scoring in the top two quartiles was $59 \%$ or better. The 2008-2009 year showed the highest percentage of students scoring in the top two quartiles with 69\%. From 20072008 to 2008-2009 there was a decrease of $10 \%$ in the number of students scoring in the bottom two quartiles, from $41 \%$ to $31 \%$ respectively.

## 8th Grade READING Quartiles Subgroups

(Females, Males \& Socioeconomics)
Iowa Tests of Basic Skills NRT: 3 Year Comparison


Summary of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Reading subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: The boys and girls had a high percentage of students scoring in the top two quartiles, with $60 \%$ and $54.5 \%$ respectively. The scores of students identified in the socioeconomic group were lower with $46.6 \%$. In the socioeconomic subgroup there were $20 \%$ of students scoring in the first quartile and $53.3 \%$ of students scoring in the bottom two quartiles.

2008-2009: A higher percentage of girls, $70 \%$, scored in the top two quartiles than boys, $60 \%$. While $29 \%$ of girls scored in the top quartile, no boys scored in the top quartile. Meanwhile, $33 \%$ of boys scored in the bottom quartile and $18 \%$ of girls scored in the bottom quartile. In addition, $64 \%$ of identified socioeconomic students scored in the top two quartiles. Among that subgroup, $29 \%$ scored in the lowest quartile compared to $21 \%$ who scored in the highest quartile.
2007-2008: A higher percentage of boys, $66.7 \%$, scored in the top two quartiles than girls, $56.5 \%$. A similar percentage scored in the top quartile. A higher percentage of girls, $26 \%$, scored in the lowest quartile, as compared to boys, 5.5\%
Comparison of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Reading subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: All three years the girls had more than $50 \%$ of students scoring in the top two quartiles. There was an increase from 2007-2008, 56.5\%, to 2008-2009, $70 \%$, and then a decrease to $54.5 \%$ in 2009-2010. The three-year period showed a decreasing trend of 21.6\% from 2007-2008 to 2009-2010.
Males: Across the three-year period, the percentage of boys in the top two quartiles was 60\% or better. In 20082009 there were $0 \%$ of students in the fourth quartile and $60 \%$ of students in the third quartile. The percentage of students in the first quartile showed a $27.5 \%$ increase from 2007-2008 and 2008-2009. From 2008-2009 to 2009-2010 the scores had a $23 \%$ decrease.
Socioeconomic: During the 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 school years, over 57\% of students scored in the top two quartiles, while the percentage of students decreased to $46.6 \%$ in 2009-2010. There was a high percentage of students over the three years scoring in the first quartile: 2007-2008, 28.6\%; 2008-2009, 29\%; 2009-2010, 20\%.

Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

8th Grade LANGUAGE Quartiles Subgroups
(Females, Males \& Socioeconomics)
Iowa Tests of Basic Skills NRT: 3 Year Comparison


Summary of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Language subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: Across the three subgroups, $53.3 \%$ or more of students scored in the top two quartiles. The students identified in the socioeconomic group had $26.7 \%$ scoring in the first quartile. Each group had $40 \%$ or more of students in the bottom two quartiles.
2008-2009: A significantly higher percentage of girls than boys scored in the highest quartile, $29 \%$ to $7 \%$, and in the top two quartiles, $76 \%$ to $47 \%$. The percentage of girls and boys scoring in the lowest quartile were similar, $18 \%$ to $20 \%$.
2007-2008: The percentage of girls and boys scoring in the top two quartiles were similar. However the percentage of girls scoring in the top quartile was significantly higher than boys, $39 \%$ to $17 \%$. The percentage of students identified as low socioeconomic status scoring in the top two quartiles was again much lower than the general student population, $42.8 \%$ compared to $51 \%$.
Comparison of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Language subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: Over the three-year period the girls scored above 50\% in the top two quartiles. The 2008-2009 school year showed a high percentage of girls in the top two quartiles with $76 \%$. There was a decrease in the percentage of students in the bottom two quartiles from 2007-2008, 48\%, to 2008-2009, 23\%. This percentage increased to $40.9 \%$ in 2009-2010.
Males: The percentage of boys scoring in the top two quartiles stayed relatively consistent over the span of three years. In 2008-2009 there was a low number of boys scoring in the fourth quartile, $7 \%$, while $40 \%$ scored in the third quartile. There was also a $10 \%$ decrease in the students scoring in the first quartile between the 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 school years.
Socioeconomic: There was an increase in the scores among students identified in the socioeconomic subgroup over the three-year period. In 2008-2009 there was a low number of students, $7 \%$, in the fourth quartile. Over the three years there was also a gradual decrease in the percentage of students scoring in the bottom two quartiles. However the number of students scoring in the first quartile did increase from 9.5\% in 2007-2008 to 29\% in 2008-2009.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

8th Grade MATH Quartiles Subgroups
(Females, Males \& Socioeconomics)
Iowa Tests of Basic Skills NRT: 3 Year Comparison


## Summary of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Math subgroup scores by year:

2009-2010: The boys and girls subgroups scored high in the top two quartiles, $68.2 \%$ and $70 \%$, respectively. The percentage of students identified in the socioeconomic group scoring in the top two quartiles was $46.7 \%$. The boys subgroup scored high in the fourth quartile with $45 \%$ compared to the girls subgroup with $22.7 \%$ in the fourth quartile. There was a higher percentage of students in the socioeconomic subgroup that scored in the bottom two quartiles, $53.3 \%$ compared to the boys and girls subgroups.
2008-2009: A higher percentage of boys, $73 \%$, scored in the top two quartiles in Math than girls, $64.7 \%$. A significantly higher percentage of boys, $40 \%$, scored in the top quartile compared to girls, $11.8 \%$. Both boys and girls had a small percentage of students scoring in the lowest quartile. The percentage of students identified as low socioeconomic status in the second quartile was $50 \%$.
2007-2008: A significantly higher percentage of boys scored in the top two quartiles in math than girls, $72 \%$ to $47 \%$. The percentage of both boys and girls scoring in the top quartile was statistically high: $44 \%$ of boys and $30 \%$ of girls fell in that quartile. The percentage of boys and girls scoring in the lowest percentile was low, $6 \%$ for boys and $14 \%$ for girls.
Comparison of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade ITBS Math subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: Over the three-year period there was an increase in the percentage of girls scoring in the top two quartiles with a high percentage of $64 \%$ in the 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 school years. There was a gradual decrease in the percentage of girls scoring in the bottom two quartiles.
Males: Each year the boys subgroup scored $70 \%$ or better in the top two quartiles. The percentage of boys scoring in the first quartile increased over the three-year period from 6\% in 2007-2008 to 10\% in 2009-2010.
Socioeconomic: The percentage of students in the socioeconomic subgroup that scored in the top two quartiles increased over three years from $38 \%$ in 2007-2008 to $46.7 \%$ in 2009-2010. A large percentage of students scored in the second quartile, $40 \%$ or more, during the three-year period. The percentage of students scoring in the bottom quartile showed a decrease between 2007-2008 and 2008-2009, and then an increase between 2008-2009 and 2009-2010.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

11th Grade (All Students)
Quartiles for Reading, Language, and Math lowa Tests of Educational Development NRT: 3 Year Comparison


Summary of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade ITED subject scores by year:
2009-2010: In every subject area, Reading, Language, and Math, at least $50 \%$ of JCC students fell in the top two quartiles. Language and Math each had over $40 \%$ scoring in the fourth quartile.

2008-2009: In every subject area, Reading, Language, and Math, at least $50 \%$ of JCC students fell in the top two quartiles.
2007-2008: In Reading and Language, slightly more than $50 \%$ of students fell in the upper two quartiles, while approximately $74 \%$ of students tested scored in the top two quartiles of Math. $43 \%$ of JCC students scored in the second quartile of Language.

Comparison of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade ITED subject scores over a three-year period:
Reading: There was an approximate $12 \%$ increase in the number of students scoring in the top two quartiles between 2008-2009 to 2009-2010. The percent of students scoring in the fourth quartile has increased over the threeyear period from $22 \%$ to $27.5 \%$ to $32 \%$. The number of students in the first quartile increased from $13 \%$ to $25 \%$ and then decreased to $11 \%$. The number of students in the bottom two quarters has decreased.

Language: The number of student scoring in the top two quartiles remained around 55\% for the first two years, then increased to about $72 \%$ the third year. The percentage of students scoring in the second quartile has decreased over the three-year period by $29 \%$, while the percent in the lowest quartile has increased from $2 \%$ to $14 \%$.

Math: The percent of students scoring in the third and fourth quartile has exceeded $62 \%$ all three years. The top quartile decreased from $39 \%$ to $25 \%$ and then increased to $46 \%$ in 2009-2010. Less than $8 \%$ of the students scored in the first quartile.

11th Grade READING Quartiles Subgroups
(Females, Males \& Socioeconomics)
Iowa Tests of Educational Development NRT: 3 Year Comparison


Summary of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade ITED Reading subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: Each subgroup had a score of at least $60 \%$ or greater in the top two quartiles. There was a high percentage of students in each subgroup scoring in the third quartile. No boys scored in the first quartile.
2008-2009: Across the three subgroups, the girls had a high percentage scoring in the top two quartiles, $60 \%$. The boys scored slightly lower than $50 \%$ in the top two quartiles with a percentage of $45 \%$. There was a decrease in the percentage of students scoring in the top two quartiles in the socioeconomic subgroup with $18.2 \%$. The boys and socioeconomic subgroups both had scores in the bottom two quartiles greater than $50 \%$.
2007-2008: A significantly larger percentage of girls scored higher in the top two quartiles compared to the other two subgroups, $61.6 \%$, compared to male subgroup score of $42.9 \%$ and the socioeconomic subgroup score of $31.6 \%$. The male and socioeconomic subgroups had a higher percentage, over $50 \%$, scoring in the bottom two quartiles, $57.1 \%$ and $68.4 \%$ respectively.
Comparison of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade ITED Reading subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: Over the three year period, the girls had a high percentage scoring in the top two quartiles, $60 \%$ or greater. The number of girls in the top quartiles remained relatively constant over the three-year period. There was an increase from 15.4\% in 2007-2008 to 20\% in 2008-2009 of girls scoring in the first quartile, but this percentage decreased to $17.6 \%$ in 2009-2010
Males: There was a significant increase of $22.1 \%$ in the percentage of boys scoring in the top quartile from 20072008 to 2009-2010. From the year 2008-2009 to 2009-2010, the percentage of boys in the first quartile decreased from 20\% to 0\%.
Socioeconomic: Over the three year period, there was an increase in the percentage of students scoring in the top two percentiles between 2008-2009 and 2009-2010, 18.2\% to $60 \%$. The percentage of students scoring in the bottom two quartiles remained high in the first two years, $68.4 \%$ and $81.9 \%$ and decreased in 2009-2010 to $40 \%$. The 2008-2009 year had over $80 \%$ of students scoring in the bottom two quartiles.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

11th Grade LANGUAGE Quartiles Subgroups
(Females, Males \& Socioeconomics)
Iowa Tests of Educational Development NRT: 3 Year Comparison


Summary of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade ITED Language subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: All three subgroups scored $70 \%$ or higher in the top two quartiles. Twenty percent or less of students in each subgroup scored in the first quartile.

2008-2009: There was a higher number of girls scoring in the top two quartiles, $65 \%$, than boys, $45 \%$. The socioeconomic subgroup had a significantly lower percentage of students in the top two quartiles, $27.3 \%$, with $0 \%$ in the fourth quartile. The boys subgroup and socioeconomic subgroup had over $50 \%$ of students in the bottom two quartiles. There were $0 \%$ of girls scoring in the first quartile.
2007-2008: Each subgroup had a percentage of $40 \%$ or greater scoring in the top two quartiles. A large number of students scored in the second quartile with over $50 \%$ of students in the socioeconomic group in this quartile.
Comparison of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade ITED Language subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: The percent of girls scoring in the top two quartiles showed a slight increasing trend. The percent scoring in the top quartile decreased from $46 \%$ to $30 \%$, then increased to $41.2 \%$. There was an increase from no girls scoring in the bottom quartile in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 to 11.8\% in 2009-2010
Males: The percent of boys scoring in the top two quartiles varied slightly in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009, 50\% compared to $45 \%$, and then showed improvement in 2009-2010 to $72.8 \%$. The percent scoring in the top quartile increased from $10.7 \%$ to $25 \%$ to $45.5 \%$, and the percent scoring in the lowest quartile increased from $3.6 \%$ to $20 \%$ then remained similar at $18.2 \%$.

Socioeconomic: The percent of identified socioeconomic students in the top two quartiles was less than 50\% in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 ( $42.1 \%$ and 27.3\%) and less than the overall student scores, but increased in 2009-2010 to $70 \%$ of identified socioeconomic students scoring in the top two quartiles. The bottom quartile varied from $0 \%$ to $27.3 \%$ to $20 \%$ of the subgroup scoring in the quartile.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

11th Grade MATH Quartiles Subgroups
(Females, Males \& Socioeconomics) Iowa Tests of Educational Development NRT: 3 Year Comparison


## Summary of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade ITED Math subgroup scores by year:

2009-2010: A larger percentage of males than females scored in the top two quartiles, $81.8 \%$ of boys and $70.6 \%$ of girls. $80 \%$ of identified socioeconomic students scored in the top two quartiles, $29.4 \%$ of girls, $72.7 \%$ of boys and $40 \%$ of identified socioeconomic students scored in the top quartile. No boys scored in the lowest quartile in mathematics.
2008-2009: A similar percentage of males and females scored in the top two quartiles, $60 \%$ of girls and $65 \%$ of boys. $0 \%$ of identified socioeconomic students scored in the top quartile. No girls or socioeconomic students scored in the first quartile. The socioeconomic subgroup had a large percentage, $72.7 \%$, scoring in the second quartile.
2007-2008: A similar percent of females and males scored in the top two quartiles, $73.1 \%$ of girls and $75 \%$ of boys. $52.7 \%$ identified socioeconomic students scored in the top two quartiles. $46.2 \%$ of girls scored in the top quartile.
Comparison of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade ITED Math subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: The percent of girls scoring in the top two quartiles is comparable $73.1 \%$ to $60 \%$ to $70.6 \%$. The percent scoring in the lowest quartile decreased from $3.8 \%$ to $0 \%$ then increased to $11.8 \%$. The percent scoring in the top quartile declined from $46.2 \%$ to $30 \%$ then stayed comparable at $29.4 \%$.

Males: The percent of boys scoring in the top two quartiles decreased from $75 \%$ to $65 \%$ then increased to $81.8 \%$. The percent scoring in the top quartile were comparable in 2008-2008 and 2008-2009, but showed a large increase in 2009-2010, $32.1 \%$ to $30 \%$ to $72.7 \%$ respectively. The percent scoring in the lowest quartile varied from $3.6 \%$ to $15 \%$ to $0 \%$.
Socioeconomic: The percentage of low socioeconomic status students in the top two quartiles decreased 26\% (52.7\% to 27.3\%) from 2007-2008 to 2008-2009 then increased 53\% (to 80\%) in 2009-2010. The percent of students in the top two quartiles was considerably less than the overall student scores in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009, but was comparable to the overall student scores in 2009-2010. The percent of students in the lowest quartile remained fairly constant in the three-year period, $10.5 \%$ to $0 \%$ to $10 \%$. There was a difference in the percent of students in the lowest two quartiles, $47.3 \%$ to $72.7 \%$ to $20 \%$, in 2009-2010.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.


Subject Area Assessed by Year

Summary of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade assessment of state standards by year:
2009-2010: There were a high percentage of students that met or exceeded the state standards in Math and Writing, $100 \%$ and $97.62 \%$ respectively. The percentage of students in Reading was lower with $68.25 \%$ that met or exceeded the state standard. The percentage of students in Math and Writing exceeded the state standards, but the Reading percentage did not meet the state average by $1.27 \%$.
2008-2009: There was high percentage of students that met or exceeded the state standards in Math and Reading. The percentage of students in Writing was lower with $74.29 \%$ of students. None of the three subject areas had percentages that met the state average.
2007-2008: All three subject areas had percentages of students that met or exceeded the state standard that were higher than $90 \%$. In Reading and Writing the percentages exceeded the state average, but the percentage of students in the area of Math did not meet the state standard.
Comparison of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade assessment of state standards over a three-year period:
Reading: Over the three-year period there was a decrease in the percentage of students that met or exceeded the state Reading standards, decreasing from $92.7 \%$ in 2007-2008 to $68.25 \%$ in 2009-2010. The 2007-2008 school year was the only year that had a percentage above the state average.

Writing: Between 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 there was a decrease in the percentage of students that met or exceeded the Writing standards from $94.87 \%$ to $74.29 \%$. This was followed by an increase in the 2009-2010 year to $97.62 \%$. The only year that did not exceed the state average was 2008-2009, which was $15.74 \%$ below the state average.
Math: There was a gradual increase over the span of three years, beginning at 92.68\% in 2007-2008, the percentage of students rose to $100 \%$ in 2009-2010. The 2009-2010 school year was the only year that surpassed the state average.

# $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade Nebraska STARS/NeSA READING Scores by Subgroup <br> (Females, Males, and Socioeconomic) <br> Percent Meeting or Exceeding State Standards Nebraska State Accountability: 3 Year Comparison 



Subgroups Assessed by Year
Summary of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade state Reading standards subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: The scores across each subgroup were $70 \%$ or lower, with the boys subgroup and socioeconomics subgroup being the lowest. The percentage of girls did not meet the state average, whereas the boys and socioeconomic subgroups were above the average, $100 \%$

2008-2009: The girls subgroup had a high percentage of students that met or exceeded the reading standards. The males and socioeconomics subgroups had percentages of $88.2 \%$ or better. The females were the only subgroup that had a percentage of students that was above the state average.
2007-2008: There was a high percentage of students in the boys and girls subgroups that met or exceeded the state Reading standards, $93 \%$ and $92.9 \%$ respectively. The percentage of students in the socioeconomic subgroup was slightly lower with $89.5 \%$. All three subgroups had percents of students that exceeded the state average.
Comparison of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade state Reading standards subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: Over the three-year period, the girls subgroup showed the highest percentage of 100\% in the 2008-2009 year. There was a $30 \%$ decrease in the student percentage of $100 \%$ in 2008-2009 to $70 \%$ in 2009-2010. In the 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 school years, the percentage of girls was higher than the state average.
Males: There was a decreasing trend among the percentage of boys in the span of three years. In 2007-2008 the percentage was the highest with $93 \%$ of students, which had decreased to $67 \%$ in 2009-2010. The 20082009 year was the only year where the percentage of boys that met or exceeded the standards was not above the state average.
Socioeconomic: Between the years of 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 there was a slight increase in the percentage of students that met or exceeded the state reading standards. In 2009-2010 the percentage decreased from $90.9 \%$ in 2008-2009 to 67\%. In 2009-2010 the state average was also low, $55.99 \%$, and the subgroup still surpassed this percentage with $67 \%$.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

# $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade NeSA WRITING Scores by Subgroup (Females, Males, and Socioeconomic) Percent Meeting or Exceeding State Standards Nebraska State Accountability: 3 Year Comparison 



SubGroups Assessed by Year

Summary of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade state Writing standards subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: There was $100 \%$ of students in the boys subgroup and socioeconomic subgroup that met or exceeded the state writing standards. The girls subgroup also had a high percentage of $95 \%$. All three subgroups had percentages that were above the state average.
2008-2009: In this year there were no percentages higher than $85.7 \%$. The boys had the lowest percentage of 57.1\% among the three subgroups and the socioeconomic group was slightly higher with $66.7 \%$. None of the subgroups were close to meeting the state average.
2007-2008: There was $100 \%$ of students in the socioeconomic subgroup and girls subgroup that met or exceeded the state writing standards. The boys subgroup also had a high percentage of $92.3 \%$. All three subgroups had percentages that were at most $14.2 \%$ above the state average.
Comparison of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade state Writing standards subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: There was a decrease in the percentage of girls that had met or exceeded the state standard from 20072008 to 2008-2009, 100\% to $85.7 \%$ respectively. In 2009-2010 the percentage had increased to $95 \%$. The only year that the percentage of girls did not surpass the state average was in 2008-2009.
Males: The boys subgroup showed a drastic decrease then increase in the percentage of students. From 20072008 to 2008-2009 the percentage dropped 35.2\%, but increased from $57.1 \%$ in 2008-2009 to $100 \%$ in 2009-2010. The 2008-2009 school year was the only year that the percentage of students was not higher than the state average.
Socioeconomic: In 2007-2008 and 2009-2010 there was a high percentage, 100\%, of students that met or exceeded the state standards in writing. The 2008-2009 school year showed a decrease in the percentage to $66.7 \%$. The socioeconomic subgroup had percentages that were above the state standard in 2007-2008 and 2009-2010.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

4th Grade Nebraska STARS MATH Scores by Subgroup
(Females, Males, and Socioeconomic) Percent Meeting or Exceeding State Standards
Nebraska State Accountability: $\mathbf{3}$ Year Comparison


SubGroups Assessed by Year

## Summary of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade state Math standards subgroup scores by year:

2009-2010: All three subgroups had $100 \%$ of students that met or exceeded the state standards in math. Each subgroup surpassed the state average.
2008-2009: The girls subgroup had the highest percentage of students, $100 \%$, that met or exceeded the state standards. The other two subgroups were comparable with percentages of $88.2 \%$ and $90.9 \%$. The boys subgroup and socioeconomic subgroup did not meet the state average, whereas the girls subgroup was higher than the state average.
2007-2008: In this year there were no scores above $93 \%$. The socioeconomic subgroup had the lowest score of $89.5 \%$ among the subgroups. All of the subgroups did not meet the state average for this year.
Comparison of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade state Math standards subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: There was an increase in the percentage of students that met or exceeded the state standards over the three-year period. The highest years, with a percentage of 100\%, were 2008-2009 and 2009-2010. 20072008 was the only year that did not meet the state average by $.79 \%$.
Males: Between 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 there was a slight decrease in the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the state math standards, decreasing from $92.6 \%$ to $88.2 \%$. The 2009-2010 school year showed the highest percentage $100 \%$ of students, and was also the only year to surpass the state average.
Socioeconomic: There was an increasing trend in the percentage of students in the socioeconomic subgroup over the three year period, from $89.5 \%$ in 2007-2008 to 100\% in 2009-2010. The 2009-2010 school year was the only year that the percentage, $100 \%$, was above the state average.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

## $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Nebraska STARS/NeSA READING, NeSA WRITING, STARS MATH Scores <br> Percent Meeting or Exceeding State Standards <br> Nebraska State Accountability: $\mathbf{3}$ Year Comparison



Subject Area Assessed by Year
Summary of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade assessment of state standards by year:
2009-2010: In all subject areas, 69.5\% or more met or exceeded the state standards, and in Math and Writing JCC percentages exceeded the state average. $98 \%$ of all JCC students met or exceeded the state Writing standards.

2008-2009: In all subject areas, $90 \%$ or more met or exceeded the state standards. $100 \%$ of all JCC students met or exceeded the state Reading standards. In Reading and Math, JCC had a higher percent of student who met or exceeded the state standards than the state average. JCC's Writing percentage was within $1 \%$ of the state average.

2007-2008: $92.68 \%$ or more of JCC $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students met or exceeded the state standards in Reading, Writing, and Math. In Reading and Math, the percent of JCC students meeting or exceeding the standards surpassed the state average.

Comparison of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade assessment of state standards over a three-year period:
Reading: Over the three-year period, the percentage of students that met or exceeded the state Reading standards decreased significantly from 2008-2009 to 2009-2010 by 39.53\%. In 2008-2009 the percentage of students, $60.46 \%$, was below the state average of $70.38 \%$.

Writing: Each year showed an increasing trend in the percentage of students that met or exceeded the state Writing standards. 2009-2010 had the highest percentage of students, $98 \%$, and was also the only year where the percentage surpassed the state average.

Math: There was a decrease in the percentage of students that met or exceeded the state standards in Math over three years from $97.62 \%$ in 2007-2008 to $95.35 \%$ in 2009-2010. All three years had percentages higher than the state average.


Subgroup Assessed by Year

Summary of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade state Reading standards subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: The percentages for students that met or exceeded the standards in each subgroup were low. The boys had the highest percentage of $64 \%$ and the other subgroups were lower. None of the subgroups met the state average for this year.
2008-2009: All three subgroups had $100 \%$ of students that met or exceeded the state standards in reading. Each subgroup surpassed the state average.

2007-2008: Each subgroup had $90 \%$ or more of students that met or exceeded the state standards, while the girls had $100 \%$ of students. Each subgroup surpassed the state average.
Comparison of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade state Reading standards subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: Over the three-year period, the girls showed a decreasing trend. In 2007-2008 and 2008-2009, 100\% of girls met or exceeded the standards. However, this had a $45.45 \%$ decrease in 2009-2010 dropping to $54.55 \%$. 2009-2010 was the only year that the state average was not surpassed.
Males: There was an increase in percentages from $90 \%$ in 2007-2008 to the highest percentage of 100\% in 20082009. In 2009-2010 the percentage of students decreased to $64 \%$. The 2009-2010 school year was the only year that the percentage of students did not exceed the state average.
Socioeconomic: Over the three year period there was an increase in the percentages of students in 2007-2008 to 2008-2009 from $90.9 \%$ to $100 \%$, respectively. After the highest percentage of students at $100 \%$, there was a significant decrease to 43\% in 2009-2010. In 2009-2010 the percentage of students did not surpass the state average.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

# $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade NeSA WRITING Scores by Subgroup <br> (Females, Males, and Socioeconomic) <br> Percent Meeting or Exceeding State Standards <br> Nebraska State Accountability: $\mathbf{3}$ Year Comparison 



SubGroups Assessed by Year

Summary of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade state Writing standards subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: All subgroups had a percentage of at least $95 \%$ or better. The boys subgroup and socioeconomic subgroup had $100 \%$ of all students meeting or exceeding the state writing standards. The girls were the only subgroup to not exceed the state average.
2008-2009: There were no subgroups that had percentages of students greater than $95 \%$. The socioeconomic subgroup had the lowest percentage of the three subgroups with $85.71 \%$. The socioeconomic and girls subgroups did not have percentages that surpassed the state average.

2007-2008: Each subgroup had a percentage of students that was $90 \%$ or above, with a girls subgroup percentage of $95.24 \%$. The socioeconomic and boys subgroups had slightly higher percentages than the state average.
Comparison of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade state Writing standards subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: Over the span of three years, the percentage of students remained consistent around 95\%. Every year the percentage of students was lower than the state average.

Males: There was an increase in the percentage of students that met or exceeded the state standards from $90 \%$ in 2007-2008 to the highest percentage of $100 \%$ in 2009-2010. The percentage of boys was higher than the state average all three years.
Socioeconomic: Between the 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 years, there was a slight decrease in the percentage of students of $4.29 \%$. The following year, the percentage increased to the highest percentage of 100\% in 2009-2010. The only year that did not have a percentage of students that was above the state standard was the 2008-2009 school year.

Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

8th Grade Nebraska STARS MATH Scores by Subgroup (Females, Males, and Socioeconomic)
Percent Meeting or Exceeding State Standards Nebraska State Accountability: $\mathbf{3}$ Year Comparison


SubGroups Assessed by Year
Summary of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade state Math standards subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: The girls and boys subgroups had percentages of students that were greater than $95 \%$. The percentage of students in the socioeconomic subgroup was lower with $85.45 \%$ and was the only subgroup that did not exceed the state average for the year.
2008-2009: The girls subgroup had the highest score of $94.12 \%$ of the three subgroups. The boys were slightly lower with $92.86 \%$ of students meeting or exceeding the state standards, while the socioeconomics subgroup had $85.71 \%$. Both the girls and boys percentages surpassed the state average.
2007-2008: All subgroups had a percentage of $95 \%$ or greater. The highest percentage was $100 \%$ of the girls that met or exceeded the math standards. Each subgroup exceeded the state average for the year.
Comparison of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade state Math standards subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: There was a decrease in the percentage of students over the span of three years from the highest percentage of $100 \%$ in 2007-2008 to $95 \%$ in 2009-2010. Every year the percentage of girls that met or exceeded the standards was above the state average.
Males: Over the three-year period, the percentage of boys meeting or exceeding the state standards remained relatively consistent, only decreasing slightly from 95\% in 2007-2008 to 92.86\% in 2008-2009, but then increasing again in 2009-2010 to $95 \%$. Every year the percentage of boys that met or exceeded the standards was above the state average.
Socioeconomic: There was a decreasing trend in the percentage of students that met or exceeded the standards. In 20072008 the percentage was $95.45 \%$, which decreased to $85.45 \%$ in 2009-2010. Each year the percentage of students identified in the socioeconomic subgroup was below the state average, at the most by $11.06 \%$.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

## $11^{\text {th }}$ Grade Nebraska STARS/NeSA READING, NeSA WRITING, STARS MATH Scores Percent Meeting or Exceeding State Standards Nebraska State Accountability: 3 Year Comparison



Subject Area Assessed by Year
Summary of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade assessment of state standards by year:
2009-2010: In all subject areas, $78 \%$ or more met or exceeded the state standards, and in all subject areas exceeded the state average. $100 \%$ of all JCC students met or exceeded the state Writing standards.

2008-2009: In all subject areas, $90 \%$ or more met or exceeded the state standards. $100 \%$ of all JCC students met or exceeded the state Writing standards. In Writing and Math, JCC had a higher percentage of student who met or exceeded the state standards than the state average. JCC's Reading percentage was within $1.5 \%$ of the state average.

2007-2008: $96.3 \%$ or more of JCC $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students in Reading, Writing, and Math met or exceeded the state standards. In all three subject areas the percent of JCC students meeting or exceeding the standards exceeded the state average.

Comparison of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade assessment of state standards over a three-year period:
Reading: Over three years there was a decrease in percentage of JCC students meeting or exceeding the Reading standard from $96.3 \%$ in 2007-2008 to $78 \%$ in 2009-2010. During the 2007-2008 school year, the percentage of JCC students was above the state average by 7\%. In 2009-2010 the district fell short of meeting the state average by $9.6 \%$.

Writing: The percentage of JCC students meeting or exceeding the Writing standards over the three years was high with $98.15 \%$ in 2007-2008 to 100\% in 2008-2009 and 2009-2010. In each of the three years the district exceeded the state average by at least $3.85 \%$.

Math: There was a decreasing trend in the math scores over the three years. In the 2007-2008 school year the district percentage of students meeting or exceeding the state math standards was high with $98.15 \%$, then it decreased to 89.66\% in 2009-2010. The district was above the state average in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009, but did not meet the state average by less than 1\%, in 2009-2010.
$11^{\text {th }}$ Grade Nebraska STARS/NeSA READING Scores by Subgroup (Females, Males, and Socioeconomic) Percent Meeting or Exceeding State Standards Nebraska State Accountability: 3 Year Comparison


Subgroups Assessed by Year
Summary of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade state Reading standards subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: $90 \%$ or more of students in the socioeconomic and boys subgroups met or exceeded the state Reading standards. The girls subgroup had $71 \%$ of students meet or exceed the standards. The students in the boys subgroup and socioeconomic subgroup had percentages above the state average by at least $26.62 \%$.
2008-2009: The girls had the highest percentage, $95 \%$, of all the subgroups. The other two subgroups were comparable with the boys percentage of $85 \%$ and the socioeconomic percentage of $80 \%$. The girls subgroup was the only group to have a percentage above the state average.
2007-2008: In this year the boys had a high percentage of $100 \%$ of students. The socioeconomic subgroup was the lowest with $89.5 \%$. All subgroups had a percentage of students that met or exceeded the state average.
Comparison of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade state Reading standards subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: Over the three-year period, there was a slight increase, then decrease in the percentages. In 2008-2009 there were $95 \%$ of students that met or exceeded the state standards. This percentage decreased to $71 \%$ in 2009-2010. The first two years the percentage of students surpassed the state average.
Males: The boys subgroup showed a decrease from $100 \%$ in 2007-2008 to $85 \%$ in 2008-2009. This percentage increased in the year 2009-2010 up to $91 \%$. The percentage of boys exceeded the state average in the first and last year.
Socioeconomic: There was a decrease in the percentage of students identified in the socioeconomic group from $89.5 \%$ to $80 \%$ in the first two years. This percentage increased to $90 \%$ in 2009-2010. The percentage of students exceeding or meeting the standards was significantly higher than the state average by 38.18\% in 2009-2010.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

## $11^{\text {th }}$ Grade NeSA WRITING Scores by Subgroup (Females, Males, and Socioeconomic) <br> Percent Meeting or Exceeding State Standards Nebraska State Accountability: 3 Year Comparison



SubGroups Assessed by Year

## Summary of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade state Writing standards subgroup scores by year:

2009-2010: All three subgroups had 100\% of students that met or exceeded the state standards in Math. Each subgroup surpassed the state average.

2008-2009: All three subgroups had $100 \%$ of students that met or exceeded the state standards in Math. Each subgroup surpassed the state average.

2007-2008: Each subgroup had a high percentage of students, $94.74 \%$ or better, that met or exceeded the state standards. The boys had the highest percentage of $100 \%$. Each subgroup surpassed the state average.

Comparison of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade state Writing standards subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: There was an increasing trend over the three-year period with the percentage of girls rising from $96.15 \%$ to $100 \%$ in the last two years. Every year the girls subgroup percentage exceeded the state average.

Males: Over the span of three years, $100 \%$ of boys met or exceeded the state standards each year. Every year the boys subgroup percentage exceeded the state average in writing.

Socioeconomics: This subgroup showed an increasing trend from $94.74 \%$ the first year, to $100 \%$ in the last two years. Every year the students identified in the socioeconomic subgroup had a percentage that exceeded the state average.

Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

# $11^{\text {th }}$ Grade Nebraska STARS MATH Scores by Subgroup <br> (Females, Males, and Socioeconomic) Percent Meeting or Exceeding State Standards Nebraska State Accountability: 3 Year Comparison 



SubGroups Assessed by Year

Summary of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade state Math standards subgroup scores by year:
2009-2010: The percentage of students that met or exceeded the state standards was $90 \%$ or better in the socioeconomic and boys subgroups. The girls were slightly lower with a percentage of $88.24 \%$ and were the only subgroup to not surpass the state average.
2008-2009: Every subgroup had a percentage of $90 \%$ or better, with the girls having $100 \%$. Each subgroup surpassed the state average.
2007-2008: In this year the boys had 100\% of the students meeting or exceeding the state standards. The socioeconomic subgroup and girls subgroup were slightly lower with $94.74 \%$ and $96.15 \%$, respectively. Each subgroup surpassed the state average.
Comparison of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade state Math standards subgroup scores over a three-year period:
Females: The percentage of girls that met or exceeded the state standards over the three-year period increased from $96.15 \%$ to $100 \%$ in the first two years. In 2009-2010 this percentage decreased from $100 \%$ to $88.24 \%$ and was the only year that the percentage of girls did not exceed the state average.
Males: The boys subgroup showed a decreasing trend over the three years. From $100 \%$ in the first year, the percentage decreased to $90 \%$ and then slightly increased to $91.6 \%$ in 2009-2010. Every year the boys subgroup percentage exceeded the state average.
Socioeconomic: There was a decrease in the percentage of students that met or exceeded the state standards from 94.74\% in the first year to $90 \%$ in the last two years. Every year the students identified in the socioeconomic subgroup had a percentage exceeded the state average.
Special Education: Scores for special education are not compared because of low student numbers.
English Language Learners: Scores for English Language Learners are not compared because of low student numbers.
INFORMATION NOTE: Socioeconomic refers to students meeting federal guidelines for free/reduced lunch.

# Johnson County Central Public Schools 



Survey Data

## Johnson County Central Dublic Schools

## K-3 ELEMENTARY STUDENT SURVEY JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS

|  |  | -) | © |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Do you feel safe at school? | 94 \% | 6 \% |
| 2. | Do enjoy your Music classes? | 92 \% | 8 \% |
| 3. | Do you like Library classes? | $97 \%$ | 3 \% |
| 4. | Are the teachers friendly? | 99 \% | 1 \% |
| 5. | Are the lunches good at your school? | 96 \% | 4 \% |
| 6. | Is your teacher fair to you? | $97 \%$ | 3 \% |
| 7. | My teacher helps me when learning is hard. | $97 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 8. | Do you feel free to ask questions? | 96 \% | 4 \% |
| 9. | I am busy learning everyday. | $98 \%$ | 2 \% |
| 10 | Teachers plan some fun projects for learning. | $100 \%$ | 0 \% |
| 11 | My PE class helps me. | 95 \% | 5 \% |
| 12 | Do you have a recycle box in your classroom? | 92 \% | 8 \% |
| 13. | Would you feel comfortable telling a grownup if someone was bothering you? | 94 \% | 6 \% |
| 14 | Sometimes I get to use the computer. | $99 \%$ | 1 \% |
| 15 | My school visits places in our community. | 83 \% | 17 \% |

This population was comprised of 136 participants. Students responded favorable when asked if they felt safe at school ( $94 \%$ ), if their teachers were friendly ( $99 \%$ ), and if they were busy learning everyday ( $98 \%$ ). They also indicated that they were comfortable talking to a grown up if someone was bothering them (94\%).

There were $97 \%$ who said their teacher helps them when the learning is hard, while there were $96 \%$ who said they felt free to ask questions.

Because of the age level, written comments were not available. The area with the lowest score noted (83\%) was when asked if the school visits places in the community.

## Johnson County Central Public Schools

## Grades 4-5 ELEMENTARY STUDENT SURVEY JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS

| 1. | Do you feel safe at school? | Almost Always $\%$ | Sometimes | Almost Never |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Do you enjoy your Music classes? | $62 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| 3. | Do you like Library classes? | $55 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 4. | Are the teachers friendly? | $77 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| 5. | Are the lunches good at your school? | $44 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| 6. | Is your teacher fair to you? | $90 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 7. | My teacher helps me when learning is hard. | $70 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| 8. | Do you feel free to ask questions? | $61 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| 9. | I am busy learning everyday. | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 10 | Teachers plan some fun projects for learning. | $47 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| 11 | My PE class helps me. | $77 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| 12 | Do you have a recycle box in your classroom? | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ |  |
| 13. | Would you feel comfortable telling a grownup if someone <br> was bothering you? | $60 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| 14 | Sometimes I get to use the computer. | $39 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| 15 | My school visits places in our community. | $20 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 16 | My goal is to be on the Honor Roll. | $68 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| 17 | My teachers present lessons in a variety of ways. | $57 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 18 | Extra help is available when I need it. | $65 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

# Johnson County Central Dublic Schools 

## Grades 4-5 ELEMENTARY STUDENT SURVEY SUMMARY JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS

Of the 61 students surveyed, $70 \%$ indicated they "almost always" are busy learning every day, while $70 \%$ said their teacher "almost always" helps them when the learning is hard. Of the youth surveyed, six out of ten (61\%) "almost always" feel free to ask questions.

Two-thirds of the students (65\%) indicated that extra help is "almost always" available when needed, however 35\% indicated that extra help is "sometimes or almost never" available when needed.

There were two-thirds (65\%) of the 61 Fourth and Fifth graders who "almost always" feel safe at school, while one-third of the students "sometimes" or "almost never" (35\%) feel safe at school. There were 3 out of 5 students (60\%) who would feel comfortable telling a grownup if someone was bothering them, while two out of five (40\%) "sometimes" or "almost never" feel comfortable telling a grownup if someone was bothering them.

Some responses may suggest the students' interest in having more involvement with the community. Comments indicated a desire to have more time for enrichment classes such as longer PE classes, more art projects, and class computer use.

## Johnson County Central Public Schools

MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENT SURVEY
JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS


# Johuson County Central Dublic Schools 

## MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENT SURVEY SUMMARY

JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS

The first statement on the Middle School survey asked students if they feel like they belong at JCC's Middle School with 62\% responding with "almost always" , while $3 \%$ of the surveys revealed a "never" response. There were 3\% of the students who stated they did not feel safe while at school; while 61\% indicated they "always" felt safe at school. There were indications that the elementary school experiences prepared this sector for Middle School with 58\% reporting "almost always" and 35\% indicating "sometimes."

There are $56 \%$ of the youth who indicated that bullying is "sometimes" or "almost never" controlled and discouraged at the Middle School.

Perhaps the most alarming statistic from this population related to the statement: "I'm on the down list", with "almost always" responses totaled 6\% and "sometimes" responses totaled $35 \%$. These numbers suggest that over $40 \%$ of the middle school students are failing from time-to-time.

Of the 106 students surveyed, four out of five (81\%) middle school students "almost always" have the goal to be on the Honor Roll. Of the youth surveyed, seven out of 10 (70\%) students indicated the teachers are helpful and encourage them to do their best.

There are one-half of the students (51\%) who indicated that extra help is "almost always" available when needed, while 49\% indicated that extra help is "sometimes" or "almost never" available when needed.

## Johuson County Central Dublic Schools

## HIGH SCHOOL GRADES 9-12 STUDENT SURVEY JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS

| Gender: | Number of <br> Participants | Graduation <br> Year: | Number <br> Completing Survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $46 \%$ | 2011 | $23 \%$ |
| Female | $54 \%$ | 2012 | $26 \%$ |
|  |  | 2013 | $23 \%$ |
|  |  | 2014 | $29 \%$ |

Students were asked to rate Johnson County Central in each of the following areas. The chart below shows the percent of students selecting each rating.

| Statements: | 3 <br> Excellent | 2 <br> Satisfactory | 1 <br> Needs <br> Improvement | N/A <br> Does Not <br> Apply |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. JCC ensures I am able to read effectively. | $59 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| b. JCC ensures I am able to write effectively | $54 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| c. JCC ensures I am able to do math effectively | $56 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| d. JCC ensures I am able to listen and speak effectively | $58 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| e. JCC encourages learning on my own after graduation | $51 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| f. JCC is preparing me to succeed in the world of work | $48 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| g. JCC is preparing me to succeed in college | $48.5 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| h. JCC offers a safe environment | $54.5 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| i. JCC offers an alcohol, tobacco and drug-free environment | $57 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| j. JCC encourages a global perspective and appreciates | $45.5 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| k. JCC integrates technology throughout the curriculum | $51 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| I. JCC encourages community participation and service | $39 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| m. JCC administration provides effective leadership | $40 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| n. JCC staff listens to student ideas and seeks input | $32 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| o. JCC teachers treat each student with respect and fairness | $34 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| p. JCC encourages participation in extracurricular activities | $61 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| q. I am proud to be a student at JCC | $52 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

## Johnson County Central Dublic Schools

Career clusters selected when students were asked to indicate their current occupational goal:

| Career Clusters | Percent of students selecting |
| :--- | :---: |
| Agriculture, Food, \& Natural Resources | $4.5 \%$ |
| Business Management \& Administration | $3.2 \%$ |
| Government \& Public Administration | $1.9 \%$ |
| Human Services | $7.8 \%$ |
| Manufacturing | $1.9 \%$ |
| Architecture \& Construction | $9.7 \%$ |
| Education \& Training | $17.5 \%$ |
| Health Science | $18.2 \%$ |
| Information Technology | $2.6 \%$ |
| Marketing | $1.9 \%$ |
| Transportation, Distribution \& Logistics | $1.9 \%$ |
| Arts, A/V Technology \& Communication | $9.7 \%$ |
| Finance | $1.9 \%$ |
| Hospitality \& Tourism | $0.0 \%$ |
| Law, Public Safety \& Security | $5.8 \%$ |
| Science, Technology, Engineering \& Mathematics | $11.0 \%$ |

## Johnson County Central Dublic Schools

High School Students were asked to rate each area of education at Johnson County Central:

| Area |  | 2 <br> Neutral | $1$ <br> Dissatisfied | N/A <br> Does Not Apply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Classroom teaching | 44\% | 55\% | 1.5\% | 0\% |
| b. Variety of subjects offered | 56.5\% | 38\% | 4.5\% | 1\% |
| c. Classroom grading practices | 49.5\% | 44\% | 5.5\% | 1\% |
| d. Number/types of tests | 39.5\% | 59.5\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| e. Rules/regulations regarding students | 47\% | 49\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| f. Additional Facilities (library, science labs, computers) | 58\% | 35\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| g. Help for students with special learning needs | 51\% | 33\% | 2\% | 14\% |
| h. Programs for talented and gifted students | 35.5\% | 50\% | 9\% | 5.5\% |
| i. Science classes | 55\% | 41\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| j. Math classes | 69\% | 29\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| k. Language arts classes | 61\% | 38\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| I. Social Studies classes | 59\% | 35\% | 1\% | 5\% |
| m. PE/Health classes | 71\% | 24\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| n. Foreign Language classes | 50\% | 35\% | 2\% | 13\% |
| o. Music classes | 57\% | 40\% | 0\% | 7\% |
| p. Computer classes | 53\% | 35\% | 1\% | 11\% |
| q. Industrial Technology classes | 47\% | 32\% | 1.5\% | 19\% |
| r. Art classes | 52\% | 34\% | 0\% | 14\% |
| s. Business classes | 40\% | 38\% | 0\% | 22\% |
| t. Agriculture classes | 48\% | 35\% | 0\% | 17\% |
| u. Online/Distance Learning classes | 33\% | 42.5\% | 1.5\% | 23\% |
| v. Educational College/Career Planning | 32\% | 45\% | 5.5\% | 17\% |
| w. Guidance Program | 32\% | 43\% | 10\% | 15\% |

## Johnson County Central Dublic Schools

POST GRADUATE STUDENT SURVEY JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS


## Johuson County Central Dublic Schools

3. Please mark the rating you would give your high school in each of the following areas:
a) JCC ensured I was able to read effectively.

|  | 3 - Excellent | 45\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 - Satisfactory | 41\% |
|  | 1 - Needs Improvement | 14\% |
|  | N/A - Does Not Apply | 0\% |
|  |  |  |
| b) JCC ensured I was able to write effectively. |  |  |
|  | 3 - Excellent | 50\% |
|  | 2 - Satisfactory | 27\% |
|  | 1 - Needs Improvement | 18\% |
|  | N/A - Does Not Apply | 5\% |
|  |  |  |
| c) JCC ensured I was able to do math effectively. |  |  |
|  | 3 - Excellent | 64\% |
|  | 2 - Satisfactory | 27\% |
|  | 1 - Needs Improvement | 5\% |
|  | N/A - Does Not Apply | 5\% |
|  |  |  |
| d) JCC ensured I was able to listen and speak effectively. |  |  |
|  | 3 - Excellent | 41\% |
|  | 2 - Satisfactory | 45\% |
|  | 1 - Needs Improvement | 14\% |
|  | N/A - Does Not Apply | 0\% |
|  |  |  |
| e) JCC encouraged learning on my own after graduation. |  |  |
|  | 3 - Excellent | 41\% |
|  | 2 - Satisfactory | 50\% |
|  | 1 - Needs Improvement | 5\% |
|  | N/A - Does Not Apply | 5\% |
|  |  |  |
| f) JCC prepared me to succeed in the world of work. |  |  |
|  | 3 - Excellent | 18\% |
|  | 2-Satisfactory | 59\% |
|  | 1 - Needs Improvement | 14\% |
|  | N/A - Does Not Apply | 9\% |

## Johnson County Central Dublic Schools



## Johnson County Central Dublic Schools



## Johnson County Central Public Schools

6. Rate your high school education.
a) Classroom teaching .


## Johnson County Central Dublic Schools

| h) Science classes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 - Excellent | 36\% |
| 2 - Satisfactory | 45\% |
| 1 - Needs Improvement | 18\% |
| N/A - Does Not Apply | 0\% |
|  |  |
| i) Math classes |  |
| 3 - Excellent | 68\% |
| 2 - Satisfactory | 27\% |
| 1 - Needs Improvement | 5\% |
| N/A - Does Not Apply | 0\% |
|  |  |
| j) Language Arts classes |  |
| 3 - Excellent | 27\% |
| 2 - Satisfactory | 64\% |
| 1 - Needs Improvement | 9\% |
| N/A - Does Not Apply | 0\% |
|  |  |
| k) Social Studies classes |  |
| 3 - Excellent | 41\% |
| 2 - Satisfactory | 50\% |
| 1 - Needs Improvement | 9\% |
| N/A - Does Not Apply | 0\% |
|  |  |
| I) PE / Health classes |  |
| 3 - Excellent | 36\% |
| 2 - Satisfactory | 55\% |
| 1 - Needs Improvement | 5\% |
| N/A - Does Not Apply | 5\% |

## Johnson County Central Dublic Schools



## Johuson County Central Dublic Schools

| t) Online/Distance Learning classes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 - Excellent | 23\% |
| 2 - Satisfactory | 41\% |
| 1 - Needs Improvement | 9\% |
| N/A - Does Not Apply | 27\% |
|  |  |
| u) Counseling Program |  |
| 3 - Excellent | 23\% |
| 2 - Satisfactory | 32\% |
| 1 - Needs Improvement | 36\% |
| N/A - Does Not Apply | 9\% |
|  |  |
| v) Educational College/Career Planning |  |
| 3 - Excellent | 18\% |
| 2 - Satisfactory | 45\% |
| 1 - Needs Improvement | 36\% |
| N/A - Does Not Apply | 0\% |
|  |  |
| w) Variety of subjects offered |  |
| 3 - Excellent | 23\% |
| 2 - Satisfactory | 55\% |
| 1 - Needs Improvement | 23\% |
| N/A - Does Not Apply | 0\% |

# Johnson County Central Dublic Schools 

POST GRADUATE STUDENT SURVEY SUMMARY
JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS

Surveys were sent to 2008, 2009, and 2010 graduates through a Facebook invitation.
Participation from this sector was the lowest, with only 22 surveys returned out of the 59 who were asked via their Facebook account.

Recent graduates were asked to respond to the following statement: "JCC prepared me to succeed in college". The responses were as follows: $27 \%$ - excellent, $41 \%$ - satisfied, and $32 \%$ needs improvement. The majority were pleased with their reading (86\%), writing (77\%), math (91\%), and communication skills (86\%).

When asked if JCC administrators provided effective leadership, a "needs improvement" rating was recorded on $41 \%$ of the surveys. Comments relayed concerns about the library and computer lab, as they were labeled as "out of date". Other concerns addressed dissatisfaction with the guidance and counseling programs. There were comments stating the need to add classes that qualify for college credit while in high school.

Future surveys of this sector will need to be planned carefully so that thorough data can be compiled. The quality of the responses were good, however the quantity needs to be increased to receive effective data. It must be stressed that with just three years since the merger, JCC schools is still in its infancy. Responses and data will become more available and significant as the number of graduates increase.

# Johnson County Central Dublic Schools <br> STAFF CLIMATE SURVEY <br> JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS 

## School Leadership \& Vision

1. I have a clear sense of our school's direction and purpose.
2. I am asked my suggestions/opinions about school concerns
3. Teachers cooperate and support one another.
4. This staff works together to set reasonable standards and goals and devises means for achieving these goals.
5. Teachers are encouraged to assume leadership roles.
6. I receive feedback and assistance from my principal.
7. My principal is highly visible throughout the school.

Parental Involvement \& Support
8. Parents are supportive of what is being accomplished in this school.
9. I involve parents in their child's efforts.
10. The schedule and calendar provide adequate time for teaching and learning.
11. In this school, teachers and administrators respect each other's views.

## Student Performance \& Assessment

12. High expectations for learning are communicated to all students.
13. My students usually complete their assignments.
14. I set clear, attainable, and measurable academic goals for students each year and clearly communicate these goals to students and parents.
15. I have the data to know how well my students are learning.
16. Assessments help students understand their progress.
17. Students are recognized for academic success.
18. Students are recognized for athletic/activity success.
19. I make accommodations for students with special needs.
Strongly

Agree

Agree

Neutral
Disagree

Strongly
Disagree

0\%
0\%

30\%
45\%
40\%
39\%
12\%
0\%
2\%

37\%
39\%
18\%
52\%
46\%
40\%
48\% 30\%
39\% 0\%
10\%
0\%
0\%
0\%
0\%
3\%
0\%
39\%
-

| $3 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $13 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $21 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $28 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $40 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $33 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $18 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $33 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $39 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $51 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |33\%


| $40 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $33 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $18 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $33 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $39 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $51 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc} & \text { Strongly } & & & & \text { Strongly } \\ \text { Disagree }\end{array}\right)$

# Johnson County Central Dublic Schools 

STAFF CLIMATE SURVEY SUMMARY
JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS

This survey was completed by 67 of the 105 staff members at Johnson County Central Schools, representing 63\% of the teachers, paraprofessionals, secretarial staff, kitchen staff, bus drivers, and janitorial staff.

There are 3 out of 5 (61\%) staff members who "strongly agree" or "agree" that the parents are supportive of what is being accomplished in the school. Of the staff, three-fourths (77\%) indicated that they "strongly agree" or" agree" that they involve parents in their child's efforts.

Of the staff, nine out of ten (91\%) "strongly agree" or "agree" that they make accommodations for students with special needs; while $88 \%$ "strongly agree" or "agree" that high expectations for learning are communicated to all students. There are three out of five (60\%) staff surveyed who "strongly agree" or "agree" that problems with students discipline do not interfere with learning.

There are four out of five (81\%) staff who "strongly agree" or "agree" that a safe and secure building environment is maintained, while $55 \%$ of the staff "strong agree or agree" the rules for students are clear and enforced consistently.

Of the staff surveyed, three-fourths (76\%) "strongly agree" or "agree" that the students treat teachers with respect, while $71 \%$ "strongly agree" or "agree" that the students treat each other with respect.

Over one-third (36\%) of the staff indicated they were neutral or dissatisfied with the media services and its ability to meet the needs of the students and curriculum. When asked if the counseling/guidance services contribute to students success, $40 \%$ responded at "neutral" and $13 \%$ disagreed with this statement.

## Johnson County Central Dublic Schools

PATRON/PARENT SURVEY
JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS
Number of PATRON/PARENTS with children in Johnson County Central Schools

| 10 - Birth to Preschool | 51-Grade K-2 | 25-Grade 9-12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 - Preschool | 38 - Grade 3-5 | 7 - Graduated |
|  | $53-$ Grade 6-8 |  |


| Please mark the rating you would give Johnson County Central in <br> each of the following areas: | 3 <br> Excellent | 2 <br> Satisfactory | Needs <br> Improvement | N/A <br> Doos Not <br> Apply |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. School ensures students are able to read effectively. | $73 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| b. School ensures students are able to write effectively. | $64 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| c. School ensures students are able to do math effectively. | $66 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| d. School ensures students are able to listen and speak effectively. | $64 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| e. School makes students want to continue learning after graduation. | $53 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| f. School is preparing students to succeed in the world of work. | $49 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| g. School is preparing students to succeed in college. | $55 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| h. School offers a safe and drug-free environment. | $60 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| i. School encourages a global perspective and appreciates diversity. | $61 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| j. School integrates technology throughout the curriculum. | $57 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| k. School prepares students to positively contribute to the <br> community. | $50 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| l. School does an effective job communicating with patrons. | $56 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| m. School administrators provide effective leadership. | $49 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

2. Which means of communicating are most effective for you? Please check all that apply.

| $89-$ Newsletter | 60 - School website | 44 - Power School |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $80-$ Weekly Bulletin | 79 - Alert-Now | 53 - E-mail |
| $49-$ Mail | 71 - Phone calls | 103 - Parent/Teacher Conferences |
| $28-$ Newspaper |  |  |

## Johnson County Central Public Schools

3. Rate the educational programs at Johnson County Central. If you choose dissatisfied, please comment as to why you chose that response.


# Johnson County Central Dublic Schools <br> PATRON/PARENT SURVEY SUMMARY <br> JOHNSON COUNTY CENTRAL SCHOOLS 

This survey was available to all parents/guardians to complete who attended Parent Teachers Conferences in the fall of 2010. The same survey was mailed to all district patrons via the Johnson County Schools Monthly Newsletter. The survey was completed by 136 patrons.

From this sector, the committee evaluated responses from 136 surveys. The overall perception of "classroom teaching at JCC" is encouraging with $84 \%$ responding as satisfied. Patrons were also satisfied (84\%) with the rules and regulations implemented within the school system. Excellent reading ( $73 \%$ ), writing ( $64 \%$ ), math ( $66 \%$ ), and listening and speaking ( $64 \%$ ) ratings were recorded. There were ( $82 \%$ ) who responded that school prepares students in the world of work, while ( $83 \%$ ) responded that school prepares students to succeed in college.

Of the 136 patrons who completed the survey, $84 \%$ were "satisfied" with the classroom teaching, and with the rules/regulations regarding the students. There are one-fourth (25\%) of the patrons who completed the survey who were "satisfied" with the online/distance learning classes. There were two out of five patrons (39\%) who were "satisfied" with the programs for talented and gifted students.

The surveys revealed that the most effective means of communicating with patrons and parents were through newsletters, Parent-Teachers Conferences, and the Alert-Now system.

| School Name: | Johnson County Central Public Schools | Action Plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School Improvement Goal: <br> Johnson County Central Public Schools will improve reading comprehension through the direct and explicit teaching of vocabulary. |  |  |
| Support Data <br> 1. STARS Standards Assessments | Baseline/Post-Intervention Measures <br> NWEA MAPS <br> MeSA - R <br> LIBELS <br> ELD | Baseline/Post-Intervention Measures <br> State Standards Assessments (STARS) <br> Classroom Assessments |
| 3. NWEA MAPS | MeSA - R Assessments | Robert J. Marrano, Debra J. Pickering, <br> Jane E. Pollock |
| Strategy/Intervention: Direct, explicit instruction of content area vocabulary to <br> improve reading comprehension across the curriculum. |  |  |



## Evidence of success in achieving the goal: (How will we know that the school has achieved this goal?)

The District will see increasing percentages of students demonstrating mastery on state-wide reading standards.
The District will see increasing scores to grade level or above.
Parent Surveys will indicate increased knowledge and support of reading.
Classroom-based assessment scores will improve.
The District will continue to set and strive for individual student $A R$ goals.

